#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

### RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

### **Current Human Exposures Under Control**

Facility Name:	Lockheed Martin Astronautics (Martin Marietta Astronautics Group)					
Facility Address:	12257 South Colorado Hwy 121		1.50	200		
Facility EPA ID #:	COD 001704790		1.24	1 - 3		
groundwater,	ble relevant/significant information or surface water/sediments, and air, subj Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU	ect to RCRA Corre	ctive Actio	on (e.g., fron	n Solid Waste	
this EI determ			e aviden.		Property (Contract)	
As the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the sect	The state of the s	to the same to	The state of	1.18	* 1, 140	
	If yes - check here and continue v					
প্ৰচল্প কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব জ্বৰ জ্বৰ কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব কৰ	If no - re-evaluate existing data, of	क्रमान जिल्लाहरू or		Marie Commence	arta karagida Lake bigida	
BACKGROUND	if data are not available skip to #6	and enter"IN" (m	ore inform	ation needed	l) status code	

### Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

### Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

### Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater	x		- J	Solvents, chromium, rocket fuels
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		x		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		x	e e i sate delle	
Surface Water		×	y mak	
Sediment		X	4	the entre of the control of the cont
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	X	100	An Police	solvents, rocket fuels, chromium, lead
Air (outdoors)		<b>x</b>		a gapita da abada ja ka a sa a sa a sa a sa a sa a sa a

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each
"contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the
determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing
supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

ara olegan, da**lah sakal bahasa kala**ngan belam sakal sakal sakaban bahasa kalangan kalangan saka saka saka saka

# Rationale and Reference(s):

1990 Final Remedial Investigation Report; Approved closure plans for the Chemical Milling Basement Sumps, Chemical Technology Laboratory Acid Sump, Hydrazine Burn Pit, Hydrostatic Test Tank, Evaporation Pond. 1990 Record of Decision, Inactive Site Design Investigation, Site-wide groundwater Remediation Design Investigation.

#### Footnotes:

9.35

<sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>2</sup>Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

3. Are there complete pathways between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

### Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

### Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media R	esidents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreati	on Food <sup>3</sup>
Groundwater	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	***
Air (indoors)	<del></del>		<del></del> خور	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	X 14		
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Surface Water	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	yes no -
Sediment	<u> </u>	450.00	. Pigik	A. O. A. State	dv og 1900er	<u></u>	at Read Style
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)	no	no	no	no	no	no	no no
Air (outdoors)				1 100 100 100 100 100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			

### Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated".

Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

· <del></del> -	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).				
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.				
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to # and enter "IN" status code.				

Rationale and Reference(s):

The second course

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

<b>4.</b>	"significant greater in m "levels" (us even though	osures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) agnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable ed to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps a low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable uld result in greater than acceptable risks)?
e i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status
201 - 1		code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not
		expected to be "significant."
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially
		"unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining
		complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale a	nd Reference(s):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

		If yes (all "sign continue and er why all "signifi site-specific Hu	iter "YE" af cant" expos	ter summa ures to "co	rizing <u>and</u> re ntamination	ferencing d	ocumentat	ion justifying
ing section of the se		If no (there are continue and er "unacceptable"	nter "NO" st				tion of eac	"unacceptable") h potentially
tur i				1.			2. 2	
		មា ខ្លាំ	200		1.61			

6.	(CA725), and ob	tain Superviso	status codes for the or (or appropriate Management of the supporting document of the supporting docume	lanager) signatı	ire and date on	the EI determi	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<b>X</b>	review of the Exposures" a facility, EPA under curren	Current Human Exe information contains expected to be "ID # COD 0017.4" t and reasonably exenthe Agency/State	ined in this EI I Under Control' 790, located at pected condition	Determination, " ' at the Lockhee 12257 South Co ns. This determ	Current Huma d Martin Astro lorado Highw ination will be	an onautics ay 121 e re-
•		NO - "Curr	ent Human Exposu	res" are NOT "	Under Control."	) .	20,00
				nakan in			
5.1,8		IN - More	information is need	ded to make a d	etermination.	Burnasia.	Biotois (2008)
		franchysk i di					A Company
	Completed by	(signature)	1. 1. la		✓ Date	Oct. 27, 199	g .
	Completed by	(print)	Charles G. Johns	on		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
		(title)	Environmental Pr		list	Mary a Armya Mary	
	Supervisor	(signature)	Water		Date	Oct. 27, 199	<b>9</b>
		(print)	Walter Avrament		<u> </u>	da en	
		(title)	Hazardous Waste Unit Leader	Corrective Act	ion		alieses il il in en il alien
		(EPA Regio	on or State) Color	rado			
	Locations when	re References i	may be found:		en e		
	Colorado Depa Closeout Repoi	rtment of Publ rt, 1999 Sitewi	lic Health and Envi de Groundwater Re ine Burn Pit, 1990 (	emediation Con	struction Closed	out Report, 19	90
	Tank, 1990, Cl Milling Buildir	osure Certificang Sumps, 199	ation Container Stor 1 Closure Certificat ation Evaporation P	rage Unit, 1992 tion Chemical T	Closure Certific	cation Chemic	al
	*/		•				
•							

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name)	Charles G. Johnson	
(phone #)	303-692-3348	
(e-mail)	charles.johnson@state.co.us	

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.